



BUILDING TOMORROW'S WORKFORCE TODAY: THE 109 NATIONAL STEM EDUCATION CENTRES INITIATIVE AS NIGERIA'S STRATEGIC RESPONSE TO ECONOMIC TRANSFORMATION, SKILLS CRISIS, AND GLOBAL COMPETITIVENESS

By

Oyewole O. Sarumi, PhD¹, Olusola O. Aliu, PhD²

^{1,2}Faculty and Researchers at ICLED Business School, Lekki, Lagos.



Article History

Received: 15/06/2026

Accepted: 27/06/2026

Published: 30/06/2026

Vol – 3 Issue – 3

PP: -161-172

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.21079892

Abstract

Nigeria stands at a historic inflection point in its pursuit of a trillion-dollar economy by 2030 under President Bola Ahmed Tinubu's Renewed Hope Development Plan. The devastating acceleration of the "Japa" brain drain phenomenon, the structural deficits catalogued in the World Bank's 2026 Human Capital Report, and the seismic global shift towards Artificial Intelligence, robotics, and advanced digital skills have together created conditions of unprecedented urgency for a transformational national STEM strategy. This article argues that Nigeria's future economic trajectory will be determined not by its oil reserves but by its investments in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education at scale. Drawing on the catalytic model provided by the launch of STEM Africa 2.0 by Junior Achievement Africa and the ExxonMobil Foundation in May 2026, this paper proposes and analyses the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative, a bold blueprint for establishing one STEM innovation Hub in each of Nigeria's 774 Local Government Areas, aligned to its senatorial district STEM education centre, thereby creating a nationwide ecosystem of STEM learning, talent development, and innovation.

The article provides a comprehensive justification grounded in skills deficit data, demographic imperatives, comparative global precedents from China, India, Singapore, and the West, and the specific economic pressures of the post-reform Tinubunomics era. It offers an actionable implementation roadmap, a blended funding architecture spanning federal, state, local government, private sector, diaspora, and international development finance, and a governance model designed to deliver world-class outcomes rather than political patronage.

The paper concludes that this initiative, if properly executed, represents one of the most transformative national development projects in Nigeria's modern history, a strategic investment in the human capital foundation without which no trillion-dollar economy is possible.

Keywords: *STEM education, Nigeria, digital transformation, brain drain, trillion-dollar economy, human capital, artificial intelligence, innovation, 109 senatorial districts, Tinubunomics, workforce development, Africa.*

1. INTRODUCTION

On 21 May 2026, a signal event punctuated the ongoing debate about Africa's education and economic future. In Abuja, ExxonMobil affiliates in Nigeria, working in collaboration with Junior Achievement Nigeria, launched the third edition of the STEM Africa Initiative, now rebranded as STEM Africa 2.0, bringing together approximately 1,500 students aged 14 to 18 for competitions, mentorship sessions, innovation workshops, artificial intelligence learning, and

design thinking activities (Blueprint Newspapers, 2026). The programme, which has already reached more than 10,000 young people across Angola, Mozambique, Namibia, and Nigeria since its inception in May 2024, aims to equip 4,000 additional students aged 14 to 17 with the critical STEM and AI skills required to thrive in a rapidly evolving digital economy (JA Africa & ExxonMobil Foundation, 2026). Alvin Abraham, President of the ExxonMobil Foundation, articulated the underlying philosophy with admirable clarity: "We believe that investing in young people is one of the most

powerful ways to drive long-term economic growth and resilience. By supporting STEM Africa 2.0, we are helping to bridge the skills gap and enabling young people to engage with emerging technologies that will define the future of work."

This corporate initiative, however inspiring, throws into sharp relief the existential contradiction at the heart of Nigeria's development predicament. A private foundation, operating with limited scale and resources, is doing in 30 schools what 774 Local Government Areas, 36 state governments, and a federal government with constitutional obligations for education have collectively failed to do systematically. Nigeria, the most populous nation in Africa with a projected population of 400 million by 2050 (WEF, 2025), possesses the demographic weight, the entrepreneurial culture, and the natural resource heritage to become the continent's leading innovation economy. However, its educational infrastructure, particularly in science and technology, remains catastrophically inadequate for the demands of the 21st-century global economy.

The timing of the ExxonMobil-JA launch could not be more consequential. In February 2026, the World Bank published a landmark Human Capital Report revealing that in Nigeria, existing deficits in health, education, and skill development are costing an extraordinary 111 percent of future earnings, more than double the 51 percent average for low- and middle-income countries globally (World Bank, 2026). Nigeria's education pillar score of 64 in the Human Capital Index stands dramatically below the median of 88 for lower middle-income countries. Meanwhile, the World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2025 projected that if the global workforce were represented by 100 people, 59 would need reskilling or upskilling by 2030, with AI, big data, cybersecurity, and networks registering the fastest growth in demand (WEF, 2025). For Nigeria, a country already losing thousands of its most skilled professionals annually to the Japa brain drain phenomenon, these figures represent not merely an educational challenge but a genuine national emergency.

Against this backdrop, President Bola Ahmed Tinubu's administration has committed Nigeria to becoming a trillion-dollar economy by 2030 through the Renewed Hope Development Plan 2026–2030, endorsed by the National Economic Council (NEC) in August 2025 (State House, 2025). This ambition, while laudable, confronts an uncomfortable arithmetic reality: Nigeria's GDP stood at approximately \$384 billion as of 2024, requiring sustained annual growth rates of between 8 and 12 percent to reach a trillion dollars within the target timeframe, growth that the National Bureau of Statistics' recorded rate of 3.19 percent in Q2 2024 places well below the required trajectory. More fundamentally, such growth cannot be achieved through consumption-based economics or natural resource exports alone. A trillion-dollar economy requires industrial productivity, technology exports, research commercialization, high-value digital services, and globally competitive technical manpower, all of which presuppose a robust, skilled, and innovation-capable workforce.

This article proposes and analyses the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative as Nigeria's strategic response to this convergence of crises and opportunities. The proposal advocates establishing one STEM centre of excellence in each of Nigeria's 109 senatorial districts. The argument is developed through a comprehensive analysis of the global STEM education ecosystem, Nigeria's specific developmental imperatives, comparative benchmarks from Asia and the West, a phased implementation roadmap, a blended financing framework, and a governance architecture designed for sustainability and excellence rather than political tokenism.

2. THE THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: STEM EDUCATION AS ECONOMIC ARCHITECTURE

The relationship between STEM education and economic development is not merely intuitive; it is one of the most rigorously documented relationships in development economics. Cinnirella and Streb (2017), examining the role of human capital and innovation in economic development through evidence from post-Malthusian Prussia, established the foundational link between science-based education and structural economic transformation. Romer's (1990) endogenous growth theory, subsequently extended by Aghion and Howitt (1992), positioned technological innovation driven by educated human capital as the primary engine of long-run economic growth, a theoretical insight that the development trajectories of South Korea, Singapore, Taiwan, and China have validated empirically over the past four decades.

In the African context, Chisom, Unachukwu, and Osawaru (2024) conducted a comprehensive review of STEM education advancements in Nigeria, identifying systemic weaknesses in curriculum relevance, laboratory infrastructure, teacher quality, and policy coherence as the primary obstacles to translating Nigeria's demographic potential into productive economic capacity. The American Journal of STEM Education's 2026 volume dedicated to Nigeria observed that Africa will require 40 million skilled workers by 2030, with fewer than 10 percent of technology-related positions currently having qualified candidates to fill them, a structural deficit that threatens to centralize African economies as consumers rather than producers of the digital revolution (STEM Education Journal, 2026).

Hanushek and Woessmann's (2015) extensive cross-national research established that cognitive skills, particularly in mathematics and science, are among the most powerful predictors of long-run economic growth rates, more powerful, in their analysis, than years of schooling alone. This finding carries profound implications for Nigeria, where UNESCO statistics reveal that approximately 72 percent of African students do not complete secondary school, depriving them of the foundational mathematics and science literacy that underpins STEM career pathways (UNESCO, 2020, cited in STEM Education Journal, 2026). The McKinsey Global Institute's Africa surveys and the OECD Skills Outlook have

consistently highlighted this skills gap as the single greatest structural barrier to African economic transformation.

Freeman (2006) introduced the concept of the "science and engineering workforce" as a critical national security asset, arguing that nations that cede technological leadership in STEM talent development effectively surrender long-term economic sovereignty. This framing has been adopted with varying degrees of urgency by China's "Made in China 2025" strategy, India's National Education Policy 2020, Singapore's STEM Inc. programme, and the United States National Science Foundation's sustained investment in STEM pipeline development. Nigeria's 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative draws explicitly on this conceptual tradition, treating STEM education infrastructure not as a social amenity but as a strategic national investment in economic sovereignty.

The pedagogical foundation for the initiative draws on constructivist learning theory as articulated by Vygotsky (1978) and extended by Jonassen (1994), which posits that deep learning in complex domains such as science and engineering occurs most effectively through active, problem-based, collaborative inquiry rather than passive instruction. This theoretical orientation underpins the project-based learning, maker-space, and innovation incubation models that characterise the most successful STEM education systems globally, from Singapore's Science Centre modules to India's Atal Tinkering Labs, and informs the curriculum design philosophy proposed for Nigeria's centres.

3. THE GLOBAL STEM REVOLUTION: WHAT NIGERIA IS RACING AGAINST

3.1 The Scale of Technological Disruption

The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2025, arguably the most authoritative global labour market analysis published in recent years, delivered findings of extraordinary consequence for policymakers worldwide. Drawing on data from over 1,000 companies spanning 22 industries across 55 economies and representing a workforce of more than 14 million employees, the report projected that job disruption will affect 22 percent of all current jobs by 2030, with 170 million new roles being created while 92 million are displaced, a net gain of 78 million jobs that will, however, require radically different skill sets from those prevalent today (WEF, 2025). Technology skills in artificial intelligence, big data, and cybersecurity were identified as experiencing the fastest growth in demand. Critically, the report found that 39 percent of workers' existing skill sets will be transformed or rendered obsolete over the 2025–2030 period, and that if the world's workforce were represented by 100 people, 59 would require reskilling or upskilling by 2030, of whom 11 are unlikely to receive it, leaving them at significant risk of redundancy (WEF, 2025).

These projections are particularly alarming for Nigeria, which the WEF's Nigeria-specific roundtable in November 2025 noted already has youth unemployment running at 23 percent

among those actively seeking work, with another 32 percent out of employment altogether (WEF, 2025). The same roundtable, co-chaired by Nigeria's Federal Ministry of Industry, Trade and Investment, the Federal Ministry of Education, and the World Economic Forum itself, identified the skills gap as the dominant structural barrier to Nigeria's digital transformation, and produced a 12-month action plan acknowledging that "without coordinated investment in skills, education and innovation, the same demographic advantage could become a liability" (WEF, 2025).

3.2 How Asian Giants Are Winning the STEM Race

To understand what Nigeria is competing against, and what it must urgently emulate, it is instructive to examine how the world's leading STEM economies have built their competitive advantage. The lessons are unambiguous and consistent: STEM supremacy is not accidental but the deliberate product of sustained, system-level national investment.

China presents the most dramatic case study in educational transformation as economic strategy. The country has invested massively in AI education, semiconductor research, engineering institutions, and national innovation parks as core elements of its Made in China 2025 strategy (Etcjournal, 2025). McKinsey's 2025 Global AI Survey recorded China's enterprise AI adoption rate at 58 percent, with the state committing an \$8.2 billion national AI fund and a \$47.5 billion semiconductor initiative (Stanford AI Index, 2025). Today, China competes globally in AI, robotics, electric vehicles, manufacturing, and renewable energy, all domains built on a foundation of aggressive national investment in STEM education. Singapore, despite its tiny geography, has achieved the second-highest population-level AI adoption rate globally at 60.9 percent (Microsoft AI Diffusion Report, 2026), building its competitive edge through a strategic alignment of education, industry, government, and innovation policy through its Smart Nation initiative and STEM Inc. programme, with robotics integrated into primary school maker-spaces (Intelmarketresearch, 2026). India transformed itself into a global technology services powerhouse through engineering education, software talent development, digital infrastructure, and startup ecosystem building; its National Education Policy 2020 explicitly mandates the integration of robotics, coding, and AI into school curricula, and the government's Atal Tinkering Labs programme has established innovation labs in over 10,000 schools nationwide.

The comparative picture is sobering for Nigeria. South Korea made the single biggest jump of any country in global AI rankings in the second half of 2025, rising seven places to 18th globally (allaboutai.com, 2026). The Asia-Pacific region, driven by China, Japan, South Korea, India, and Singapore, stands as the unequivocal leader in the global educational robots market, underpinned by government mandates integrating robotics and coding into national curricula, massive private sector investment in EdTech, and a deep cultural emphasis on STEM excellence (Intelmarketresearch, 2026). Countries that consistently top international PISA

assessments in mathematics and science, Singapore, South Korea, Japan, and high-performing regions of China, share a common architecture: challenging curricula, highly qualified and well-compensated teachers, early exposure to applied STEM learning, and seamless pathways from school to industry (Airswift, 2025). Nigeria, by contrast, ranked 118th of 137 countries in the WEF's mathematics and science education quality index, a ranking that reflects decades of underinvestment and policy incoherence.

4. NIGERIA'S FOUR CONVERGENT CRISES THAT MAKE URGENCY NON-NEGOTIABLE

4.1 The Skills Deficit: A Structural Emergency

Nigeria's skills deficit is not a cyclical problem amenable to minor policy adjustments; it is a structural emergency whose costs compound with every passing year. The World Bank's February 2026 Human Capital Report provided perhaps the most damning quantification of the crisis: in Nigeria, existing deficits in health, education, and skill development are costing 111 percent of future earnings, meaning that children born in Nigeria today will earn less than half of what they would earn if the country's human capital matched that of the best-performing nations at similar income levels (World Bank, 2026). Nigeria's student test scores have remained essentially stagnant over the past 15 years, recording a marginal decline of one point below 2010 levels by 2025. The country's tertiary education completion rate of 11.3 percent, while marginally above the Sub-Saharan Africa average of 10.5 percent, remains dramatically below the lower middle-income country median of 17.6 percent. The education pillar score of 64, compared to a median of 88 among peer countries, encapsulates the structural challenge in a single number.

The Technology Cabal's Nigeria Digital Skills Gap analysis, drawing on WEF data, confirmed that technical skills in software engineering, AI, machine learning, and data analytics represent the fastest-growing job categories globally, while simultaneously documenting that most Nigerian schools, particularly those in rural areas, lack functioning computer systems, internet connectivity, or teachers with practical digital competence (TC Insights, 2025). The result is a widening structural chasm between the skills that Nigeria's education system produces and those that the global economy demands, a gap that grows more dangerous with every year of inaction.

Nigeria's government has acknowledged this crisis through the 3 Million Technical Talent (3MTT) programme initiated by the Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy, which recorded over 1.8 million applications for digital skills training, a figure that itself illustrates the vast unmet demand for technical education that conventional schooling has failed to address. However, even the 3MTT, which operates through cohort-based training rather than structural educational reform, cannot substitute for a nationally embedded STEM ecosystem that equips millions of Nigerians from secondary school age with foundational technical literacy.

4.2 The Japa Brain Drain: Talent Flight as National Emergency

The "Japa" phenomenon, a Yoruba colloquialism meaning to flee or escape, now embedded in national discourse to describe the mass emigration of skilled Nigerians, has accelerated from a concerning trend to a genuine national emergency in the period since Nigeria's economic deterioration intensified in 2023. The statistics are deeply unsettling. Over 17,600 Nigerian-trained doctors currently practice in the United Kingdom alone. A 2023 report by the Nigerian Medical Association estimated over 50,000 Nigerian doctors practicing abroad across the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and the Middle East (ACR Journal, 2025). The doctor-to-patient ratio in Nigeria stands at a catastrophic 1:5,000, against the WHO recommended standard of 1:600, representing one of the world's most severe healthcare human capital crises (Smartpreneur, 2025). Between December 2021 and May 2022 alone, 727 Nigerian doctors relocated to the UK. Between 2021 and 2022, 13,609 healthcare workers: doctors, nurses, and other practitioners, left Nigeria for the United Kingdom.

The academic, engineering, and technology sectors mirror this pattern. Nigerian universities are losing senior lecturers and professors to international institutions offering better pay, research grants, and professional respect. In the technology sector, arguably Nigeria's fastest-growing economic vertical, skilled developers, data analysts, and software engineers are being systematically recruited by global firms offering remote work opportunities that allow talent to remain physically present in Nigeria while being economically captured by foreign employers. The Africa Polling Institute's research recorded that the proportion of Nigerians willing to relocate out of Nigeria with their families surged from 32 percent in 2019 to 73 percent in 2021 (Frontierview, 2025), a figure almost certainly higher today given the economic hardships associated with the Tinubunomics reforms of 2023 and 2024.

The Japa crisis is fundamentally a crisis of opportunity deprivation. Nigerians are not leaving because they do not love their country; they are leaving because their country has not built the innovation ecosystems, research infrastructure, competitive compensation structures, and career advancement pathways that would make staying the rational choice for ambitious, capable people. The policy implication is clear: the solution to brain drain is not to restrict emigration, which would be both unethical and ineffective, but to make Nigeria itself a place of compelling opportunity. Establishing 109 STEM innovation centres across every Senatorial District in the country would represent the most substantial practical signal in Nigeria's history that it intends to create opportunity at home rather than passively watch its human capital depart.

4.3 The Trillion-Dollar Economy Imperative and the Tinubunomics Context

President Tinubu's administration has set the most ambitious economic target in Nigeria's post-independence history: a trillion-dollar GDP by 2030, operationalised through the Renewed Hope Development Plan 2026–2030 (State House, 2025; FMINO, 2025). The National Economic Council's

endorsement of this framework in August 2025 committed all three tiers of government, federal, state, and local, to a coordinated reform agenda aimed at achieving this target. Early indicators from the Nigeria First Policy have shown promise: non-oil exports rose by 24.75 percent in Q1 2025, reaching \$1.79 billion, while new textile parks, automotive plants, and food hubs were inaugurated within Special Economic Zones (FMINO, 2025). Nevertheless, with Nigeria's GDP currently around \$384 billion and structural growth rates hovering around 3 to 4 percent, reaching a trillion dollars by 2030 remains a challenge that will require growth rates of 8 to 12 percent annually, rates that no oil-dependent, skills-deficient economy has ever consistently sustained.

The economic pain of the Tinubunomics reform period, the removal of fuel subsidies, the floating of the naira, electricity hikes, tax reforms, and other the fiscal consolidation measures, has been real and severe for ordinary Nigerians. Inflation, currency devaluation, rising costs of living, and compressed household purchasing power have characterised the 2023–2025 period. In this context, a massive national STEM infrastructure initiative serves a dual strategic purpose: it is simultaneously a long-term investment in the productivity-led growth that the trillion-dollar target demands, and a medium-term social stabilisation strategy that demonstrates to a suffering populace that the sacrifices of reform are connected to a concrete, visible, transformative vision for the country's future. When a young person in Makurdi or Maiduguri or Minna can access a state-of-the-art AI laboratory and robotics workshop within their local government area, the abstract promise of economic reform becomes tangible and credible.

The NESG's Vice Chairman articulated the dilemma precisely at the 31st Nigerian Economic Summit: the Tinubu reforms are necessary but "largely insufficient in addressing overarching issues in the polity," with the warning that "a reversal of key policy decisions will retard growth and set the country on a backward trajectory in many folds" (State House, 2024). What is needed to bridge the gap between reform pain and reform gain is precisely the kind of bold, visible, nationally distributed human capital investment that the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative would represent.

4.4 The Demographic Dividend or Demographic Time Bomb: The Choice Before Nigeria

Nigeria's youth demographic is simultaneously its greatest asset and its most urgent challenge. A population projected to reach 400 million by 2050 (WEF, 2025), making Nigeria the world's third most populous country, contains the potential for a demographic dividend of extraordinary economic value if properly channelled into productive, skilled employment. Youth unemployment in Nigeria already runs at 23 percent among active job seekers, with a further 32 percent out of the labour market altogether. Without deliberate, sustained investment in the skills that modern economies demand, this demographic bulge will not become a dividend; it will become a source of social instability, economic dependency, and security crisis that no amount of fiscal reform or monetary

policy can contain. Every year that Nigeria fails to equip its young population with relevant STEM and digital skills is a year in which the window for converting its demographic advantage into competitive strength narrows. The nations that dominate the innovation economy of 2040 and beyond are building their talent pipelines now, not as a luxury but as an existential necessity.

5. THE 109 NATIONAL STEM EDUCATION CENTRES INITIATIVE: ARCHITECTURE AND RATIONALE

5.1 Conceptual Architecture

The 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative proposes a nationally distributed infrastructure ecosystem of 109 centres, one anchored in each senatorial district, designed to democratize access to advanced STEM learning across every stratum of Nigerian society, urban, peri-urban, and rural, while building a sustainable national pipeline of technically skilled, innovation-capable young Nigerians.

Each of the 109 STEM Centres would function as a full-service STEM excellence facility, one per senatorial district, yielding three centres per state across all 36 states plus one for the FCT. These would serve simultaneously as advanced STEM academies, innovation laboratories, AI and robotics centres, teacher training institutes, research and prototyping hubs, and entrepreneurship incubation centres. Each centre would serve as the intellectual and technical anchor for its senatorial district, a node of excellence from which pedagogical standards, teacher capacity-building, curriculum enrichment, and an innovation culture would radiate outward to schools and communities across the district.

Each of the 109 centres would further serve as the hub for its surrounding Local Government Areas, driving a hub-and-spoke model of STEM outreach through partnerships with secondary schools, community learning centres, and vocational institutions within the senatorial district. This hub-driven approach ensures that the benefits of the 109 flagship centres extend well beyond their physical walls, through teacher training, mobile STEM units, digital learning platforms, and curriculum partnerships, so that the reach of the initiative encompasses all 774 LGAs without requiring 774 separate capital builds. Each centre would function as a grassroots talent discovery pipeline for its district, a digital inclusion platform, and a regional innovation accelerator, ensuring that geographical location no longer determines access to the skills of the future.

5.2 Core Facility Standards

Each STEM centre, at both tiers, should be equipped with a defined set of core facilities that establish a minimum standard of excellence. An AI and Robotics Laboratory would focus on machine learning applications, robotics programming, automation systems, drone technology, and embedded systems, the foundational hardware and software environments for the fourth industrial revolution. A Coding and Software Development Laboratory would provide training

in Python, JavaScript, cloud computing, mobile application development, cybersecurity, and web engineering. An Engineering and Fabrication Workshop, equipped with three-dimensional printers, electronics kits, renewable energy systems, and mini manufacturing tools, would provide the hands-on prototyping environment that converts theoretical knowledge into practical innovation. Science Discovery Laboratories covering physics, chemistry, biology, and biotechnology would provide experimental grounding for the STEM subjects that remain the gateway to higher technical education. A Renewable Energy Demonstration Centre would train students in solar systems, battery storage, smart grid technology, and electric mobility, skills of particular relevance to Nigeria's energy transition agenda. An Innovation and Startup Incubation Unit would help students commercialize ideas, build businesses, access mentorship networks, and connect with early-stage investors. Finally, a Digital Library and Virtual Learning Studio would provide global learning access through virtual labs, online certification programmes, and remote mentorship from Nigerian diaspora professionals and international experts.

5.3 Curriculum Philosophy and Programme Design

The curriculum philosophy underpinning the initiative draws explicitly on Singapore's Science Centre inquiry-based learning modules, India's Atal Tinkering Lab project-based approach, and the Siemens Stiftung Experimento framework's application of experiential learning to energy, environment, and health topics. The STEM Africa 2.0 programme's integration of AI literacy into secondary school STEM education, which has achieved a remarkable outcome of 96.4 percent of participants expressing interest in STEM careers post-programme (JA Africa & ExxonMobil Foundation, 2026), provides a proven pedagogical model directly applicable to the Nigerian context.

The Programmes would operate across multiple tracks. The Code and Data Hub track would deliver Python programming, AI literacy, machine learning basics, and cybersecurity fundamentals. The Hardware and Robotics Laboratory track would cover embedded systems, Internet of Things applications for smart agriculture, and automated mechanical assemblies. The Energy and Innovation Hub track would address solar photovoltaic installation, biomass conversion, and local problem-solving challenges such as building low-cost clean water filtration systems. A dedicated National AI for Youth Initiative would ensure that all participants receive exposure to AI fundamentals, prompt engineering, ethical AI principles, machine learning basics, and data analytics, positioning Nigeria's next generation not merely as users of AI systems but as informed, critical, and potentially creative contributors to their development.

The programme would operate on an extended-hours model: school curriculum delivery from 8 am to 6 pm, followed by community skilling and adult digital literacy programmes from 6 pm to 9 pm, maximising the utilisation of expensive infrastructure and extending the social return on public investment. Annual National STEM Olympiads, zonal robotics competitions, engineering hackathons, coding

championships, and drone competitions would identify exceptional talent, build competitive culture, and generate the kind of public excitement around STEM achievement that has driven participation in Asian education systems for decades.

5.4 The Girls in STEM Imperative

Any national STEM strategy that fails to address the dramatic underrepresentation of women and girls in technical fields will fail to mobilise half of Nigeria's talent pool, and will therefore fail as an economic development strategy. The World Economic Forum's labour market analysis observed that women constitute just 28 percent of the global STEM workforce and 22 percent of AI professionals, despite abundant evidence that more gender-diverse technical teams are more innovative and financially resilient (WEF, 2026). The 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative must therefore embed deliberate gender equity mechanisms from inception: dedicated Girls in STEM tracks with mentorship from successful female STEM professionals, scholarship provisions that specifically target girls from educationally disadvantaged communities, community sensitisation programmes to address cultural barriers to female participation in technical education, and performance metrics that track gender disaggregated outcomes across all centres. Countries that have invested in expanding female STEM participation, such as South Korea, Estonia, and Rwanda, are notable examples and have documented significant expansions in national productivity and innovation capacity as a result.

6. IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP: A PHASED APPROACH TO NATIONAL SCALE

6.1 Phase Zero: Foundation and Design (Months 1–3)

The first three months of the initiative would be devoted to institutional establishment, legal frameworks, and detailed planning. The President of the Federal Republic should issue an Executive Order declaring a National STEM Emergency, establishing a Presidential Task Force on STEM Infrastructure, and mandating the creation of the National STEM and Innovation Development Commission (NSIDC) as an autonomous body under the Presidency, not under the Ministry of Education, to insulate it from the bureaucratic bottlenecks, political interference, and funding volatility that have compromised previous government educational initiatives. The Commission's founding board should be composed of representatives from academia, the technology industry, engineering, finance, the private sector, international development institutions, and the diaspora community. Enabling legislation should be introduced to the National Assembly, with the bill for STEM and vocational centres in all 774 LGAs already reported as under active consideration by members of the House of Representatives, to provide statutory permanence and funding certainty.

During this phase, comprehensive land identification in each senatorial district would be completed, detailed architectural masterplans would be developed, environmental impact

assessments would be conducted, and the public-private partnership framework would be finalised. Critically, a national STEM curriculum audit would be commissioned to identify the gaps between current secondary school science and mathematics curricula and global STEM competency standards, generating the curriculum reform agenda that would underpin Phase Two.

6.2 Phase One: Pilot Rollout (Months 4–12)

The pilot phase would establish three STEM Education Centres in each of Nigeria's six geopolitical zones (selecting one senatorial district per state), plus the FCT, making 19 flagship centres. This provides 19 operational pilot units from which critical lessons about construction timelines, staffing models, curriculum delivery, equipment procurement, community engagement, and operational management can be drawn and applied to the national rollout. The pilot phase would coincide with the emergency recruitment and training of the first cohort of 5,000 STEM facilitators through an accelerated National Teachers' Institute programme, specifically targeting qualified mathematics, science, and technology teachers who can be upskilled in AI literacy, digital pedagogy, and practical laboratory instruction. Industry fellows, engineers, and technologists from MTN, Dangote, Flutterwave, Moniepoint, Paystack, and other major Nigerian corporate firms would be embedded in each pilot centre for one week per month, providing students with direct industry exposure and mentorship.

6.3 Phase Two: State-Wide Scaling (Months 13–36)

Phase Two would expand the initiative to all 109 senatorial districts, establishing the full complement of STEM Mega Centres while simultaneously rolling out STEM Digital Innovation Hubs to all 109 senatorial districts' headquarters. The hub-and-spoke co-location model, establishing hubs within existing public infrastructure rather than constructing entirely new buildings, is central to this phase's feasibility. Each senatorial District hub would be co-located in a prominent public secondary school, community centre, or local council facility, retrofitted with modular smart classrooms, portable STEM laboratories, containerised maker-spaces for rapid deployment, and high-speed connectivity through dedicated commercial-grade satellite internet (Starlink or equivalent) plus local fibre connections where available. Each centre would be equipped with a standardised minimum hardware baseline: 30 high-performance computing workstations, five robotics kit assemblies, three three-dimensional printers for digital fabrication, and an interactive smart screen for remote instruction. Solar mini-grid arrays with a minimum 10KVA capacity would ensure power reliability, overcoming the chronic energy infrastructure failures that have undermined previous public facility investments.

Teacher transformation would proceed in parallel, with the National Teachers' Institute targeting 50,000 STEM-qualified facilitators trained across the initiative's first three years. A special STEM Teacher salary scale, significantly above standard civil service compensation, would be established to attract high-quality candidates and prevent internal brain drain

to the private sector and abroad. The national STEM curriculum would be formally upgraded and harmonised with global competency frameworks, embedding AI literacy as a mandatory component of secondary school science education.

6.4 Phase Three: Full Operationalisation and Ecosystem Integration (Months 37–60)

The third phase would operationalise the full national ecosystem integration strategy, linking each hub to a nearby university and, where available, an industrial park or Special Economic Zone, creating direct pathways from secondary-level STEM learning through advanced research or startup incubation and into productive employment or entrepreneurship. A National STEM Service Corps would deploy outstanding graduates to rural primary schools as STEM ambassadors, creating a virtuous cycle in which the programme continuously regenerates its own talent supply. The top 100 students annually, identified through the National STEM Olympiad, would receive scholarships for international exchange programmes in Cape Town, Singapore, MIT, or equivalent excellence centres, returning with global perspectives and networks that enrich the national ecosystem.

7. FINANCING THE FUTURE: A BLENDED FUNDING ARCHITECTURE

The estimated capital requirement for the full 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative is substantial but not unprecedented in the context of Nigeria's national development investment history. Informed estimates suggest a capital expenditure requirement of approximately ₦1.5 trillion (approximately \$1–1.5 billion at current exchange rates) for the initial infrastructure buildout, plus annual operational expenditure of approximately ₦400 billion, declining as centres achieve partial revenue self-sufficiency. The critical policy insight is that no single funding source can or should bear this cost. A sophisticated blended financing architecture, drawing on federal, state, and local government budgets, private sector partnerships, diaspora bonds, and international development finance, is both fiscally prudent and strategically necessary to distribute risk, ensure sustainability, and align incentives across multiple stakeholder groups.

7.1 Federal, State, and Local Government Contributions

The Federal Government should establish a dedicated National STEM Transformation Fund, capitalized through annual budget allocations from the education and digital economy envelopes, special innovation bonds, and a reallocation of a defined percentage of Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) resources specifically earmarked for STEM infrastructure at the secondary and community level. TETFund's existing statutory mandate to finance laboratories, teacher development, innovation research, and digital infrastructure positions it as a natural vehicle for national STEM centre funding, pending appropriate legislative adjustment of its scope. The Universal Basic Education Commission's matching grant model, under which states contribute counterpart funding to access federal grants,

provides a proven framework for leveraging state fiscal participation without requiring the federal government to bear the entire capital burden.

State governments should be required to provide land, basic security infrastructure, and a defined counterpart capital contribution, estimated at 25 to 30 percent of centre establishment costs within their jurisdictions, in exchange for access to the federal STEM infrastructure fund. Local governments should contribute land and community labour for retrofitting works, fostering local ownership and significantly reducing the incidence of vandalism and under-utilisation that has plagued previous government facility investments when communities feel no stake in them. The Renewed Hope Development Plan 2026–2030's commitment to involving all three tiers of government in accelerating Nigeria's structural transformation provides the policy mandate for this multi-tiered public funding structure.

7.2 Public-Private Partnerships and the Corporate "Adopt-an-LGA" Scheme

Major Nigerian and international corporations should be invited to adopt specific STEM centres through a structured public-private partnership framework that mirrors the Road Infrastructure Tax Credit Scheme and offers comparable fiscal incentives. Under this model, companies such as MTN Nigeria, Airtel Nigeria, Glo, Access Bank, Zenith Bank, Dangote Group, Flutterwave, Moniepoint and the major oil and gas operators active in Nigeria would adopt specific senatorial District, funding the establishment and equipping of centres in exchange for direct corporate income tax credits, priority access to the talent pipeline emerging from the centres, and the reputational capital associated with visible national development contributions. International technology corporations, Microsoft, Meta, Google, Huawei, Cisco, and IBM, have all established precedents for substantial STEM education investment in African markets, and should be engaged through a dedicated Private Sector STEM Partnership Summit convened by the Presidency within the initiative's first 90 days, with a target of securing at least \$1 billion in committed private sector contributions over five years.

7.3 Diaspora STEM Bonds and Brain Gain Strategy

Nigeria's diaspora community, estimated to remit approximately \$20 billion annually to the country (Esangbedo Foundation, 2025), represents a largely untapped source of mission-aligned investment capital. A dedicated STEM Infrastructure Diaspora Bond, modelled on similar instruments successfully deployed by India and Israel, would allow Nigerian professionals abroad, the very doctors, engineers, software developers, and academics who constitute the Japa brain drain, to invest directly in building the innovation ecosystem that might have retained them, and that may yet attract their return. The diaspora bond mechanism transforms the Japa narrative from one of simple loss to one of circular contribution: the talent that left can fund the infrastructure that creates opportunities for the talent that stays. This is not merely a financing instrument; it is a

psychological and political reframing of the brain drain crisis that converts guilt into agency and loss into investment.

7.4 International Development Finance and Multilateral Grants

The World Bank's active portfolio in Nigeria stood at over \$16.4 billion as of 2026, with its Country Partnership Framework organised around four pillars: human capital development, job creation and economic diversification, resilience and fragility reduction, and public sector strengthening (World Bank, 2026), all four of which align directly with the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative. The African Development Bank's \$300 billion EU Global Gateway initiative has already earmarked substantial resources for African digital transitions. UNESCO and UNICEF maintain dedicated education infrastructure and quality funding windows. The International Finance Corporation (IFC) has a growing portfolio of EdTech and skills development investments in Sub-Saharan Africa. A coordinated multilateral engagement strategy, framing the STEM Centres Initiative simultaneously as a climate resilience initiative (through its renewable energy training component), a youth employment intervention, a gender equity programme, and a digital economy development project, would maximise access to the multiple funding windows available from these institutions.

7.5 The "Freemium" Revenue Sustainability Model

Operational sustainability, the perennial Achilles heel of Nigerian government facility projects, must be engineered into the initiative's design from the outset rather than treated as an afterthought. Each centre should implement a tiered revenue model that maintains completely free access for public school students during core teaching hours while generating revenue from evening and weekend use by the general public, working professionals, and small businesses. Professional certification bootcamps, technology co-working spaces, hardware repair services, weekend coding academies for adults, and corporate training contracts could collectively generate an estimated 30 percent of operational expenditure from within each centre, dramatically reducing dependence on government budget cycles and creating a self-reinforcing sustainability loop. The Siemens STEM for Nigeria model and the JA Africa Alumni network model both provide precedents for this kind of community-embedded revenue generation that serves both sustainability and social inclusion objectives simultaneously.

8. GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE: DESIGNING FOR EXCELLENCE, NOT PATRONAGE

The most technically elegant STEM centre infrastructure in the world will deliver nothing if governed by the same patronage networks, bureaucratic inertia, and accountability deficits that have undermined Nigerian public institutions for decades. The governance architecture of the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative is therefore not a secondary consideration but a primary one, arguably as important as the physical infrastructure itself.

The National STEM and Innovation Development Commission (NSIDC), established under Presidential authority rather than ministerial control, should be constituted as a genuinely independent agency with a board composition of 50 percent private sector technology leaders, 25 percent academic and research representatives, and 25 percent government nominees. The Commission should be empowered to set national standards, accredit individual centres, audit performance, manage central funding disbursement, negotiate international partnerships, and establish the curriculum frameworks that all centres are required to implement. Its operational mandate should be explicitly insulated from political appointments and electoral cycles through fixed-term board appointments, transparent public reporting obligations, and an independent external audit function reporting to the National Assembly rather than to any ministry.

At the subnational level, each state should establish a STEM Centre Governing Board, including the state government, universities, private sector representatives, technology experts, and community leaders, responsible for oversight of the Mega Centres within their jurisdictions. At the local government level, each LGA within the senatorial district centre would have a designated STEM Liaison Officer, drawn from the local government education authority, who coordinates the hub-and-spoke outreach programme between the STEM Centre and secondary schools, community centres, and vocational institutions within the senatorial districts in the LGA, ensuring the centre's impact extends beyond its physical campus. This three-tier governance architecture mirrors the successful models deployed in Singapore's Science Centre network and India's Atal Innovation Mission, both of which demonstrate that autonomous professional governance substantially outperforms direct government management in delivering world-class educational facility outcomes.

This Performance-based funding would replace the conventional Nigerian government practice of automatic annual budget allocation regardless of outcomes. The Centres would receive quarterly funding tranches conditional on meeting defined Key Performance Indicators: number of students trained and certified, number of innovation projects completed, number of startups incubated and launched, number of patents filed, rates of progression into tertiary STEM education, and employment or entrepreneurship outcomes for graduates. This KPI-linked funding model, combined with a real-time digital monitoring and evaluation dashboard for every centre, would create the accountability infrastructure needed to ensure that national investment translates into measurable national impact. The Centres that consistently underperform would receive targeted intervention and management restructuring; those that consistently excel would receive bonus funding for advanced equipment and expanded capacity.

A well structured Global certification pathways would be integral to each centre's value proposition. Students should be able to access industry-recognised certifications from Cisco Networking Academy, Microsoft Learn, Google for

Education, IBM SkillsBuild, and AWS Training, credentials that carry value in both Nigerian and international labour markets, and that provide students with a tangible return on their investment of time and effort in technical learning. These partnerships with global technology certification bodies would also bring curriculum discipline and global standards into the initiative, ensuring that what is being taught in Sokoto and Calabar is comparable to what is being taught in Singapore and Seoul.

9. EXPECTED NATIONAL IMPACT: THE CASE FOR TRANSFORMATIVE OPTIMISM

If properly implemented, the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative would constitute one of the most consequential infrastructure investments in Nigeria's post-independence history. The projected national impact, grounded in the scaling of outcomes achieved by comparable initiatives in other countries, is compelling across multiple dimensions.

In terms of talent development, the initiative would target a pipeline of 500,000 students per year at full operationalisation, generating a cumulative STEM-trained cohort of 2.5 million young Nigerians within five years of full rollout. Nigeria could position itself as Africa's leading exporter of digital talent, software services, AI expertise, and engineering innovation, creating a virtuous cycle in which the diaspora begins to see Nigeria as a source of global-standard technical talent rather than merely a source of cheap labour. India's experience is instructive: systematic investment in technical education built the talent supply that attracted global IT service contracts, which in turn funded further educational investment and created the self-sustaining ecosystem that today contributes over \$200 billion annually to the Indian economy through technology exports.

In terms of employment and entrepreneurship, the initiative would target the creation of 50,000 technology-enabled jobs and businesses annually by 2030 through the startup incubation and innovation ecosystem components of the centres. The decentralisation of innovation opportunity from Lagos and Abuja to all 774 LGAs through the 109 senatorial District centres would expand the geographic base of Nigeria's tech economy, drawing youth talent that currently migrates to the two major cities into productive local engagement. The security implications of this are considerable: idle, unskilled, unemployed youth in the North-East, North-West, and North-Central geopolitical zones, the epicentres of Nigeria's most severe security challenges, represent a vulnerability that productive STEM engagement can meaningfully address.

In terms of macroeconomic impact, a workforce progressively equipped with STEM and digital skills would make a critical contribution to the productivity-led growth that the trillion-dollar economy target demands. The global AI market is projected to reach \$1.81 trillion by 2030, with AI contributing up to \$15.7 trillion to world GDP (PwC; McKinsey; Stanford AI Index, 2025). Nigeria's capture of even a fractional share

of this global AI economy, commensurate with its position as Africa's largest economy and most populous nation, would require exactly the kind of scaled, systematic STEM talent development that the 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative is designed to deliver.

10. CONCLUSION: THE TIME TO BUILD IS NOW

The nations that will dominate the economy of the mid-21st century are not those with the most oil reserves or the largest populations per se; they are those that have most effectively converted their human potential into technological capability, scientific productivity, and capacity for innovation. China did not achieve global competitiveness in AI, robotics, electric vehicles, and renewable energy by accident: it did so through four decades of deliberate, sustained, and strategically coherent investment in STEM education, research infrastructure, and innovation ecosystems. Singapore did not become one of the world's most prosperous and technologically advanced nations through natural resource wealth; it achieved this by investing relentlessly in the education, skills, and professional development of its people. India did not build a \$200 billion technology export industry by luck: it did so by producing millions of capable, globally competitive engineers and software developers through a combination of public and private educational investment spanning decades and geographies.

Nigeria has everything it needs to write its own version of this story. It has a youth population of extraordinary size and entrepreneurial dynamism. It has a diaspora community of world-class professionals in medicine, engineering, technology, finance, and academia that represents both a resource and a potential source of reinvestment. It has a government that has articulated, in the Renewed Hope Development Plan 2026–2030, an ambition for economic transformation that is unprecedented in its scope. It has a private sector, from Dangote to Flutterwave to MTN, that has demonstrated both the capacity and the willingness to engage in national development partnerships. And it has, as the ExxonMobil-JA STEM Africa 2.0 programme has strikingly demonstrated, a population of young people who, when given access to quality STEM learning, respond with remarkable engagement, curiosity, and aspiration.

What Nigeria has lacked is a national STEM infrastructure commensurate with its scale, ambition, and need. The 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative is designed to provide precisely that infrastructure, systematically, comprehensively, and at the geographic scale that a country of Nigeria's size and diversity demands. It is an education initiative, a youth employment strategy, a national security investment, and a foundation for the trillion-dollar economy all at once. The costs are significant but finite; the costs of inaction are incalculable and compounding.

To every policymaker reading this analysis, the message is clear: the decision about whether to build Nigeria's STEM future is not a decision that can be deferred. The global technological race is already underway, and Nigeria's

competitors, not only in Asia but on the African continent itself, are already building the institutions that will define their economic trajectories for generations. The STEM revolution is not coming; it has already arrived. The question is whether Nigeria will be present in it as a creator of technology or merely as a consumer, as a producer of talent or merely as a source from which others harvest it, as an architect of its own digital future or as a dependent of nations that invested earlier and more boldly.

The 109 National STEM Education Centres Initiative is Nigeria's answer to that question. Building it is not a choice Nigeria has the luxury of postponing. The Future generations will judge this era by what was decided and built now, or by what was debated and deferred.

Declaration:

The authors declare no conflict of interest. No external funding was received for this study.

REFERENCES

1. ACR Journal. (2025). International migration, brain drain, and the development of the Third World. *Advances in Consumer Research*. <https://acr-journal.com/article/international-migration-brain-drain-and-the-development-of-the-third-world-1468/>
2. African Development Bank (AfDB). (2023). *African Economic Outlook 2023: Ordinary people's lives in extraordinary times*. African Development Bank Group. <https://www.afdb.org/en/documents/african-economic-outlook-2023>
3. Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica*, 60(2), 323–351. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2951599>
4. Airswift. (2025). Top countries for STEM talent in 2025: Global rankings and trends. <https://www.airswift.com/blog/stem-talent-top-countries-2025>
5. allaboutai.com. (2026). Global AI adoption rate by country 2026: Statistics, rankings and forecasts. <https://www.allaboutai.com/resources/ai-statistics/global-ai-adoption/>
6. American Journal of STEM Education. (2026). STEM education and workforce development in Africa: Unraveling impediments towards bridging the skills gap. Volume 17, pp. 101–130.
7. Blueprint Newspapers. (2026, May 21). ExxonMobil, others launch STEM Africa Initiative in Abuja. Blueprint Newspapers. <https://blueprint.ng/exxonmobil-others-launch-stem-africa-initiative-in-abuja/>
8. Champion Newspapers. (2026, May 21). ExxonMobil pushes STEM to boost future workforce skills. Champion Newspapers. <https://championnews.com.ng/2026/05/21/exxonmobil-pushes-stem-to-boost-future-workforce-skills/>

9. Chisom, O. N., Unachukwu, C. C., & Osawaru, B. (2024). STEM education advancements in Nigeria: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 5(10), 614–636. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v5i10.724>
10. Cinnirella, F., & Streb, J. (2017). The role of human capital and innovation in economic development: Evidence from post-Malthusian Prussia. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 22(2), 193–227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-017-9141-3>
11. Daniels, C., Mba, J., & Teferra, D. (2022). Mapping research infrastructures to enhance the resilience of science systems in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Higher Education Research Observatory*. <http://hdl.handle.net/10625/61730>
12. Dung, M. D., Nanbal, J. L., & Danladi, I. (2024). Role of ongoing professional development in equipping teachers with effective STEM teaching practices in colleges of education in Plateau State, Nigeria. *Journal of STEM Teacher Education*, 58(1), 45–63.
13. Esangbedo Humanitarian Foundation. (2025). Nigeria's brain drain: Causes and consequences. <https://ehfoundation.africa/2025/09/12/nigerian-brain-drain-causes-and-consequences/>
14. Etcjournal. (2025). Top 10 countries in AI R&D. *Educational Technology and Change Journal*. <https://etcjournal.com/2025/10/26/top-10-countries-in-ai-rd-oct-2025/>
15. Federal Ministry of Information and National Orientation (FMINO). (2025). Nigeria First ignites \$1 trillion economy ambition by 2030. <https://fmino.gov.ng/nigeria-first-ignites-1-trillion-economy-ambition-by-2030/>
16. Freeman, R. B. (2006). Does globalisation of the scientific/engineering workforce threaten US economic leadership? *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, 6, 123–157. NBER. <https://doi.org/10.1086/ipe.6.25056183>
17. Frontierview. (2025). Nigeria's "Japa" brain drain will pose challenges. <https://frontierview.com/insights/nigerias-japa-brain-drain-will-pose-challenges/>
18. Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2015). *The knowledge capital of nations: Education and the economics of growth*. MIT Press.
19. Intelmarketresearch. (2026). Asia-Pacific educational robots market outlook 2026–2034. <https://www.intelmarketresearch.com/asia-pacific-educational-robots-market-market-38694>
20. International Finance Corporation (IFC). (2023). *EdTech and skills development in Sub-Saharan Africa: Market assessment and IFC investment priorities*. IFC Publications.
21. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2024). *World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2024*. ILO.
22. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2026). Article IV Consultation — Nigeria: Staff Report. IMF Country Report.
23. Jonassen, D. H. (1994). Thinking technology: Toward a constructivist design model. *Educational Technology*, 34(4), 34–37.
24. Junior Achievement Africa & ExxonMobil Foundation. (2026). STEM Africa 2.0 programme launch: Press release. JA Africa. <https://africa.com/junior-achievement-ja-africa-and-exxonmobil-foundation-launch-science-technology-engineering-and-maths-stem-africa-2-0>
25. McKinsey Global Institute. (2020). Africa's future is now. McKinsey & Company.
26. Microsoft. (2026). AI Diffusion Report January 2026. Microsoft Corporation.
27. National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2024). *Gross Domestic Product Report Q2 2024*. NBS Nigeria.
28. Ogunmuyiwa, H., & Oludunni Toyosi, F. (2024). The "Japa-Japada" construct: A theoretical framework for exploring the recent medical brain drain scourge in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Policy and Planning*, 39(4), 411–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2025.0641>
29. Olaoye, A. (2024). Brain drain and reverse migration among Nigerian medical professionals: A systematic review. *African Journal of Health Sciences*, 37(1), 14–28.
30. Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5, Part 2), S71–S102. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261725>
31. Smartpreneur. (2025). Nigeria's brain drain crisis: The true cost of Japa in 2025. <https://smartpreneur.ng/nigerias-brain-drain-crisis/>
32. Stanford Human-Centered AI (HAI). (2026). *The 2026 AI Index Report*. Stanford University. <https://hai.stanford.edu/ai-index/2026-ai-index-report>
33. State House, Abuja. (2025). To fast-track 1 trillion-dollar economy, NEC endorses Renewed Hope Economic Plan. State House Press Release. <https://statehouse.gov.ng/to-fast-track-1-trillion-dollar-economy-nec-endorses-renewed-hope-economic-plan/>
34. Tankwanchi, A. B. S., Vermund, S. H., & Perkins, D. D. (2019). Monitoring sub-Saharan African physician emigration: Application of an online physician registry to analyse Nigerian physician attrition. *PLOS ONE*, 14(7), e0219058. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219058>
35. TC Insights. (2025). Nigeria's digital skills gap in four charts. TechCabal Insights. <https://insights.techcabal.com/nigerias-digital-skills-gap/>
36. UNESCO. (2020). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and education — All means all*. UNESCO Publishing.

37. UNESCO. (2023). Cracking the code: Girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). UNESCO.
38. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2024). Human Development Report 2024: Breaking the gridlock. UNDP.
39. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
40. World Bank Group. (2026). Building human capital where it matters: Homes, neighbourhoods, and workplaces. World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2026/02/12/nigeria-human-capital-nutrition-learning-skills-deficits-reduce-future-earnings>
41. World Bank Group. (2026). Nigeria country overview 2026. World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/country/nigeria>
42. World Economic Forum (WEF). (2025). Future of Jobs Report 2025. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/press/2025/01/future-of-jobs-report-2025>
43. World Economic Forum (WEF). (2025). How youthful Nigeria could become a digital powerhouse. WEF. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/11/nigeria-youth-wave-skills-powerhouse/>