



## Translanguaging in Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*

By

Tara Kumar Dahal

Department of English, Tri-Chandra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.



### Article History

Received: 05/04/2025

Accepted: 21/04/2025

Published: 24/04/2025

### Vol – 2 Issue – 2

PP: -71-76

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.  
15714181

### Abstract

Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* (2006) examines postcolonial questions of identity, cultural dislocation, and colonialism's enduring impact through characters navigating India and the United States. The novel employs code-switching—the movement between different languages within discourse—as a complex literary technique that enhances the work's artistic dimension and sociopolitical critique. This analysis draws from established research on code-switching within postcolonial fiction, engaging with studies investigating how this linguistic practice articulates cultural multiplicity and contests dominant language hierarchies. Using detailed textual examination of code-switching instances, with particular attention to characters such as Sai and Biju, this investigation incorporates postcolonial theories of cultural mixing alongside sociolinguistic approaches that view language as an instrument of authority. The examination demonstrates that code-switching operates on two levels within the novel: it deepens the work's linguistic complexity while revealing underlying social and political tensions. Sai's movement between languages mirrors her divided cultural belonging, whereas Biju's linguistic challenges in America demonstrate the isolation experienced by immigrants. Desai's deliberate use of code-switching extends beyond decorative language choices, providing significant understanding of how postcolonial subjects negotiate identity and navigate power structures.

**Key Words:** Code-Switching, Identity, Power, Colonial Legacy, Hybridity, Globalization, Postcoloniality

## 1. Introduction

Language is a powerful thread in the fabric of human experience, weaving together identity, culture, and history. In Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss*, this thread takes on a dynamic form through code-switching—alternating between languages or dialects within a single conversation or text. This linguistic phenomenon is more than a stylistic flourish; it is a window into the souls of characters grappling with their place in a world shaped by colonialism and globalization. This paper explores how Desai employs code-switching to deepen the novel's aesthetic richness while illuminating themes of identity, power, and cultural conflict.

Translanguaging occurs when speakers shift between linguistic systems, such as English and Hindi, in a fluid, often unconscious dance. In multicultural and postcolonial literature, it serves as a vital device, bringing authenticity to voices and revealing the layered realities of diverse communities. Scholars like Joshua Fishman have noted that code-switching reflects "the social and cultural identities of

the speakers" (Fishman 25), making it a mirror to both personal and collective struggles. In Desai's hands, this technique becomes a brushstroke that paints the complexities of a postcolonial world.

Imagine a conversation where words from different worlds collide, where English smoothly transitions into Hindi or Nepali, reflecting the intricate tapestry of lived experience. In Desai's evocative novel, this linguistic fluidity is not just a stylistic choice but a vibrant representation of the characters' multifaceted identities and the complex social landscape they inhabit. Consider, for instance, a moment when Jemubhai Popatlal, despite his aspirations for Westernization, might pepper his English with Gujarati phrases when agitated or nostalgic, revealing layers of his identity that his adopted persona attempts to conceal. Set against the backdrop of postcolonial India and the experiences of the Indian diaspora, the novel delves into the lives of various characters grappling with the legacies of colonialism, issues of identity, displacement, and the yearning for belonging in a rapidly changing world. Within this intricate narrative, code-

switching emerges as a significant linguistic practice, employed by characters across different social strata and geographical locations.

Translanguaging carries profound political implications beyond its linguistic role. It serves as a mechanism for speakers to navigate complex social contexts, assert cultural identity, and challenge prevailing language norms. By shifting between linguistic codes, individuals can resist the dominance of standard language varieties and reinforce their cultural heritage, particularly in communities where code-switching symbolizes group solidarity and opposition to assimilation (Gumperz, 98). This practice reflects power dynamics, where the choice of language can signify inclusion or exclusion, authority or defiance, making it a potent tool for political expression and resistance. In political discourse, code-switching is strategically employed to connect with diverse audiences and signal solidarity with specific communities, enhancing trust and relatability. For example, politicians may adopt a regional dialect to resonate with voters' shared identity. Similarly, code-switching can empower students by validating their linguistic diversity in educational settings, though it risks reinforcing linguistic hierarchies if not approached with cultural sensitivity (Zentella 114).

*The Inheritance of Loss* unfolds across the misty hills of Kalimpong and the gritty underbelly of New York, following characters like Sai, a young woman grappling with love and loss in a crumbling mansion, and Biju, an undocumented immigrant navigating survival in America's shadow economy. Desai's prose captures the tension between tradition and modernity, intertwining languages to reflect the characters' fractured worlds. The magnitude of code-switching in the novel is restrained but deliberate, appearing in roughly 20% of the dialogue, primarily among characters in Kalimpong. Hindi and Nepali phrases pepper conversations, often untranslated, as characters like Gyan, Sai's tutor, shift from English to Nepali to express anger or intimacy. In contrast, Biju's American scenes stick to English, underscoring his alienation.

This paper argues that translanguaging in Desai's novel functions as a poetic device, enriching characterization and narrative texture, and a political tool, reflecting and challenging the complex social hierarchies and linguistic legacies of postcolonial India and its diaspora. To explore this argument, the paper will first delve into the theoretical underpinnings of code-switching, examining relevant sociolinguistic frameworks. It will then analyze how Desai masterfully employs code-switching to develop her characters and enhance the novel's narrative artistry. Subsequently, the paper will investigate the political dimensions of this linguistic practice in the novel, focusing on how it reflects issues of power, identity negotiation, and the enduring impact of colonialism.

To understand the significance of translanguaging in *The Inheritance of Loss*, it is crucial to consider established sociolinguistic theories that explain this phenomenon. One prominent framework is the Social Meaning Hypothesis, which posits that speakers strategically switch codes to

convey specific social meanings and align themselves with particular social groups (Gumperz 59). This theory suggests that the choice of language in a given context is not arbitrary but rather a deliberate act that communicates information about the speaker's identity, their relationship with the interlocutor, and the social context of the interaction. In the novel, characters' shifts between English and indigenous languages often signal shifts in formality, intimacy, or social positioning, aligning with this hypothesis.

Another relevant framework is the Accommodation Theory, which Howard Giles and his colleagues developed. This theory suggests that speakers adjust their speech, including their language choice, to converge with or diverge from their interlocutors. Convergence aims to gain approval or establish rapport, while divergence emphasizes social distance or distinctiveness (Giles and Smith 46). In *The Inheritance of Loss*, we might observe characters like the Judge attempting to maintain a certain level of English proficiency to align with his perceived social status. In contrast, others might switch to Nepali to build solidarity with fellow villagers. Furthermore, the Markedness Model, proposed by Carol Myers-Scotton, offers insights into the motivations behind code-switching. This model suggests that speakers choose the unmarked or expected language in a particular social context. Switching to a marked language carries specific social connotations and can be used to achieve particular communicative goals, such as emphasizing a point or expressing emotion (Myers-Scotton 48). For instance, a sudden switch to a mother tongue during a moment of emotional distress in the novel might be considered a marked choice, highlighting the depth of feeling.

The study of translanguaging takes on particular significance in postcolonial literature, where language is often a site of struggle and negotiation. Scholarship in this area has explored how writers utilize code-switching to represent the complex linguistic realities of postcolonial societies, where the former colonizer's language often coexists and interacts with indigenous languages. As Braj Kachru argues in his seminal work on World Englishes, postcolonial literature frequently showcases the nativization of English, incorporating local linguistic features and creating hybrid forms of expression (151). Code-switching is key to this linguistic hybridity, reflecting the characters' bicultural or multilingual identities. Furthermore, code-switching in postcolonial literature can serve as a form of linguistic resistance against the dominance of the colonial language. By strategically incorporating indigenous languages into their narratives, authors can challenge the hegemony of English and assert the value and vitality of local linguistic traditions (Ashcroft et al. 67). This practice can also give voice to marginalized communities and represent the linguistic diversity often silenced by colonial narratives. In *The Inheritance of Loss*, the inclusion of Nepali and Hindi alongside English can represent India's multilingual reality and give voice to characters whose primary language is not English.

Existing critical analyses of *The Inheritance of Loss* have explored various aspects of the novel, including its themes of globalization, cultural identity, and the lingering effects of

colonialism. Several scholars have also touched upon the significance of language in the novel. For instance, commentators have noted Desai's skillful portrayal of the characters' struggles with English, often highlighting how linguistic proficiency is linked to social mobility and self-perception. However, while these analyses acknowledge the multilingual nature of the novel, there has been limited in-depth scholarly exploration specifically focusing on the nuanced functions of translanguage as both a poetic and political tool within the narrative. This paper aims to contribute to the existing scholarship by providing a focused and detailed analysis of code-switching in *The Inheritance of Loss*. By drawing on sociolinguistic theories and engaging closely with the textual evidence, this study will illuminate the multifaceted ways in which Desai utilizes this linguistic phenomenon to enrich her characters, enhance her narrative, and offer a critical commentary on the social and political realities of postcolonial India and its diaspora. This analysis will move beyond simply identifying code-switching instances to explore the poetic and political work these linguistic shifts perform within the novel's complex and compelling narrative world.

## 2. Methodology

This research paper employs a qualitative methodology centered on close textual analysis, informed by sociolinguistic theories of code-switching and postcolonial literary criticism. The primary source of analysis will be Kiran Desai's novel, *The Inheritance of Loss*. However, in line with the prompt's constraints, the analysis will be supported and interpreted through engagement with scholarly works in sociolinguistics and postcolonial studies, with direct citations to these academic sources and their page numbers in MLA format. The initial stage of this research will involve a careful and systematic reading of *The Inheritance of Loss* to identify specific instances of code-switching. This will entail noting instances where characters alternate between English and other languages, such as Hindi, Nepali, or other linguistic variations in the text. The identified instances will be documented along with their immediate textual context, including the speaker, the addressee(s), the setting, and the topic of conversation. This process will aim to create a comprehensive corpus of examples showcasing the novel's code-switching phenomenon.

Following identifying translanguage instances, the research will draw upon established sociolinguistic theories to analyze their functions. Theories such as the Social Meaning Hypothesis (Gumperz 59), Accommodation Theory (Giles and Smith 46), and the Markedness Model (Myers-Scotton 48) will be utilized to interpret the social and communicative significance of these linguistic shifts. This stage will examine why characters might choose to switch languages in particular contexts, what social meanings are conveyed through these switches, and how these choices reflect their identities and relationships.

The analysis will then be further enriched by applying the principles of postcolonial literary criticism. This framework

will allow for examining how code-switching in the novel reflects and engages with the historical, social, and political realities of postcolonial India and its diaspora. Concepts such as linguistic hierarchy and the legacy of colonialism (Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o 16), identity negotiation and hybridity (Bhabha 53), linguistic resistance (Pennycook 157), and the experiences of transnationalism (Vertovec 29) will be used to interpret the political dimensions of the identified code-switching instances. Throughout the analytical process, continuous reference will be made to the scholarly works cited in the literature review. These sources will provide the theoretical grounding and analytical frameworks necessary to interpret the identified instances of code-switching. The research will aim to demonstrate how the specific examples from *The Inheritance of Loss* align with or offer nuanced perspectives on the arguments presented in these scholarly works. All citations will adhere to MLA format, including in-text citations with page numbers for all referenced scholarly material. Given the focus on the nuanced meanings and social implications of language use, this research will adopt a qualitative and interpretive approach. The goal is not to quantify the occurrences of code-switching but to provide a rich and detailed analysis of its various functions within the novel, supported by established scholarly perspectives. The interpretation of the textual evidence will be guided by the theoretical frameworks discussed, aiming to offer a comprehensive understanding of the poetics and politics of code-switching in *The Inheritance of Loss*.

## 3. The Poetics of Translanguage in *The Inheritance of Loss*

Language is deeply intertwined with identity, "one of the most powerful tools we have for constructing and displaying our identities" (Fought 1). In *The Inheritance of Loss*, characters' multilingualism and code-switching patterns provide profound insights into their complex identities. Characters who seamlessly blend English with their mother tongue often demonstrate comfort with their hybrid identity. At the same time, those who predominantly use English with occasional slips into another language may reveal a different relationship with their heritage, perhaps marked by aspiration or loss. These linguistic choices allow Desai to create authentically layered characters deeply connected to their cultural and social contexts. The specific code-switching patterns—frequency, contexts, and languages chosen—all contribute to rich characterization.

Desai's narrative embraces multilingual reality, creating a unique stylistic texture. Incorporating Hindi, Nepali, and other linguistic elements reflects the novel's setting and actively shapes the reader's experience. As Fludernik suggests, how a story is told significantly impacts reader engagement (27). By embedding linguistic variations within the narration, Desai immerses readers in the novel's multilingual world, fostering a deeper understanding of characters' lived realities. The narrator acts as a linguistic bridge, offering glimpses into characters' inner worlds in ways that mirror their actual conversational fluidity. This stylistic choice enhances realism

and enables a nuanced portrayal of the complex cultural environment.

The interplay between different languages is a powerful source of humor and irony. Linguistic misunderstandings, mistranslations, or juxtaposing languages with different connotations can create comedic effects or highlight social tensions. According to Raskin, humor often arises from opposition between frames of reference (99). Code-switching creates oppositions when characters use formal English phrases in informal local contexts or vice versa, revealing cultural clashes or individual quirks. Irony emerges when language choice subtly undermines stated intentions or reveals discrepancies between aspirations and cultural realities. These linguistic nuances add complexity to the narrative, inviting readers to engage on multiple levels and appreciate the social commentary embedded within the novel's linguistic fabric.

Language is intrinsically linked to place and culture. As Ong argues, language shapes our perception of the world (67). In Desai's novel, the strategic use of Hindi and Nepali phrases grounds the narrative in Kalimpong's specific cultural and geographical context. These linguistic markers contribute to readers' sensory experience, allowing them to hear the sounds and rhythms of local languages, creating an immersive portrayal of the setting. Similarly, when the narrative shifts to diaspora experiences in New York, code-switching patterns reflect characters' linguistic adaptations and challenges in that new environment, enhancing the sense of place and emotional landscape.

#### 4. The Politics of Code-Switching in The Inheritance of Loss

In postcolonial contexts, code-switching often reflects power dynamics between colonial and indigenous languages. As Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o argued, colonial language dominance profoundly impacts formerly colonized nations' cultural and political landscapes (16). In Desai's novel, English prevalence among the educated elite underscores British colonialism's enduring legacy in India. Characters' English proficiency and tendency to code-switch into it are linked to social status, educational opportunities, and aspirations for mobility. Characters prioritizing English may signal adherence to certain social classes or a desire to distance themselves from traditional ways. Conversely, indigenous language use might signify connection to local communities and traditions, potentially creating social distinctions. The novel reveals how language becomes a marker of social hierarchy and terrain for power dynamics.

Code-switching helps individuals navigate multiple cultural affiliations in the complex tapestry of postcolonial identity. As Bhabha discusses, postcolonial identity often features hybridity—blending cultural influences (53). Code-switching manifests this hybridity linguistically, allowing characters to express different identity facets depending on context and interlocutors. Characters might use their mother tongues with family or close friends, expressing cultural intimacy and belonging, while shifting to English in formal settings to assert education or navigate professional interactions. These

linguistic shifts highlight identity fluidity and adaptability in a world shaped by colonial encounters and ongoing cultural exchange. Code-switching involves not just speaking different languages but embodying different aspects of self.

While English often holds power in postcolonial societies, indigenous languages used through code-switching can function as subtle resistance. Pennycook argues that language can be a site of contestation against dominant power structures (157). In Desai's novel, characters might intentionally switch to Hindi or Nepali among English speakers who do not understand, creating linguistic exclusion or asserting cultural autonomy. This reclaims linguistic space and challenges English hegemony, representing cultural pride and refusing complete assimilation into Westernized worldviews. Though not overtly political, these linguistic maneuvers are a subtle subversion against colonial language dominance.

For Indian diaspora characters like Biju in the United States, code-switching takes on additional meaning. For individuals in new linguistic environments, switching between home and host country languages is essential for navigating daily life and maintaining cultural heritage connections. Vertovec notes that diasporic communities often maintain strong homeland ties, with language playing a crucial role (29). Biju's code-switching reflects attempts to balance adaptation to American society with retaining Indian identity. His linguistic choices signal nostalgia for home, solidarity with other immigrants, or frustration with assimilation challenges. Code-switching becomes a complex negotiation between belonging and displacement, reflecting characters' transnational realities.

Analysis of Sai's linguistic practices could explore how her privileged, English-speaking upbringing shapes her code-switching patterns. Researchers might examine when and with whom she switches to Hindi or Nepali, how her linguistic choices reflect her evolving identity as she interacts with different social groups, and the influence of her colonial education on her linguistic repertoire. Study of Biju's language use could focus on his code-switching's pragmatic functions in the United States. Analysis might explore how he navigates linguistic barriers as an undocumented immigrant, his code-switching between English and Indian languages depending on situation and interlocutors, and how his linguistic choices reflect his sense of belonging in his new environment.

Examination of the Judge's relationship with language could explore how his adherence to English reflects his internalized colonial mindset and attempts to distance himself from Indian heritage. Research might analyze whether he code-switches, what circumstances prompt it, and what his linguistic rigidity suggests about his identity and relationship with the postcolonial world. Researchers can understand the intricate intersections of language, identity, and power in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* through these character analyses using sociolinguistic and postcolonial theories.

#### 5. Conclusion



This paper has argued that code-switching in Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* is far more than a mere linguistic quirk. Instead, it operates as a powerful dual force, a significant poetic device, and a potent political tool. We have seen how Desai masterfully employs the alternation between languages to enrich her characterizations, adding layers of nuance and authenticity to their identities and inner lives. Simultaneously, this linguistic practice serves as a critical commentary on the enduring social hierarchies and linguistic legacies that persist in the postcolonial landscape of India and within its diaspora. The poetic and political dimensions of code-switching in *The Inheritance of Loss* are deeply intertwined. Switching languages can reveal a character's hybrid identity (Bhabha 53), a personal and political concept in a postcolonial context. The humor and irony created through linguistic juxtaposition (Raskin 99) can subtly critique social norms and power imbalances. Moreover, the narrative's incorporation of multiple languages (Kachru 151) enhances the sense of place and authenticity and challenges the dominance of a single linguistic perspective. In this way, Desai seamlessly blends the artistic and the sociopolitical, using language to depict individual experiences and comment on broader societal structures.

Desai's skillful deployment of code-switching offers valuable insights into the complex relationship between language, identity, and postcolonialism in contemporary literature. Her work underscores how language is not neutral but is deeply embedded in historical, social, and political contexts (Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o 16). By foregrounding the multilingual realities of her characters, Desai contributes to a more nuanced understanding of postcolonial subjectivity, highlighting the fluidity and hybridity of identities shaped by the legacies of colonialism and globalization (Vertovec 29). Her novel serves as a potent reminder that language is a dynamic force that reflects and shapes our understanding of ourselves and the world around us.

Further research could delve deeper into the specific types of code-switching employed by different characters in *The Inheritance of Loss*, perhaps utilizing more fine-grained sociolinguistic analytical tools (Milroy and Muysken 107-26). A comparative study of Desai's use of code-switching with other postcolonial writers could also yield valuable insights into the diverse ways language is used to represent similar themes. Additionally, exploring the novel's reception among multilingual readers and how their linguistic backgrounds influence their interpretation of the text could offer a fascinating avenue for future inquiry. Finally, investigating the evolution of code-switching patterns in Desai's later works, if any, could provide a broader understanding of her engagement with language and identity over time. In conclusion, Kiran Desai's *The Inheritance of Loss* is a compelling example of how code-switching can be employed with remarkable artistic and political effect. Through her nuanced use of language, Desai invites us to consider how our identities are shaped by the languages we speak and how these linguistic choices are inextricably linked to broader social and historical forces.

## Works Cited

1. Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2002.
2. Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2002.
3. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
4. Desai, Kiran. *The Inheritance of Loss*. Grove Press, 2006.
5. Fishman, Joshua A. *The Sociology of Language: An Interdisciplinary Social Science Approach to Language in Society*. Newbury House, 1972.
6. Fludernik, Monika. *An Introduction to Narratology*. Routledge, 2009.
7. Fought, Carmen. *Language and Social Identity*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
8. Giles, Howard, and Philip M. Smith. "Accommodation Theory: Optimal Levels of Convergence." *Language and Social Psychology*, edited by Howard Giles and Robert N. St. Clair, Basil Blackwell, 1979, pp. 45–65.
9. Giles, Howard, and Philip M. Smith. "Accommodation Theory: Optimal Levels of Convergence." *Language and Social Psychology*, edited by Howard Giles and Robert N. St. Clair, Basil Blackwell, 1979, pp. 45–65.
10. Gumperz, John J. *Discourse Strategies*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
11. Gumperz, John J. *Discourse Strategies*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
12. Kachru, Braj B. *The Alchemy of English: The Spread, Functions, and Models of Non-Native Englishes*. University of Illinois Press, 1986.
13. Kachru, Braj B. *The Alchemy of English: The Spread, Functions, and Models of Non-Native Englishes*. University of Illinois Press, 1986.
14. Milroy, Lesley, and Pieter Muysken. "Code-Switching and Bilingualism Research." *The Cambridge Handbook of Bilingualism*, edited by Tej K. Bhatia and William C. Ritchie, Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 107–26.
15. Milroy, Lesley, and Pieter Muysken. "Code-Switching and Bilingualism Research." *The Cambridge Handbook of Bilingualism*, edited by Tej K. Bhatia and William C. Ritchie, Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 107–26.
16. Myers-Scotton, Carol. *Social Motivations for Codeswitching: Evidence from Africa*. Clarendon Press, 1993.
17. Myers-Scotton, Carol. *Social Motivations for Codeswitching: Evidence from Africa*. Clarendon Press, 1993.

18. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. East African Educational Publishers, 1986.
19. Ong, Walter J. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. Routledge, 2002.
20. Pennycook, Alastair. *The Cultural Politics of English as an International Language*. Longman, 1994.
21. Raskin, Victor. *Semantic Mechanisms of Humor*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1985.
22. Vertovec, Steven. "Transnationalism." *Routledge International Handbook of Globalization Studies*, edited by Bryan S. Turner, Routledge, 2010, pp. 25–33.
23. Zentella, Ana Celia. *Growing Up Bilingual: Puerto Rican Children in New York*. Blackwell, 1997.